



the undersigned issued an Order (Document # 29) directing Plaintiff to file a response to Defendants' Motion within five (5) days of the date of the Order. On April 10, 2007, Plaintiff filed a response to that Order, requesting an extension of time (Document # 30). On April 12, 2007, the undersigned issued an Order (Document # 31) allowing Plaintiff an additional five days to file a response to Defendants' Motion. On April 17, 2007, Plaintiff filed a document entitled "Response" (Document # 33). Within his "Response" Plaintiff indicates that "[t]his is a motion for Dismissal for Rod Cook, James Barrineau, and Ted Evans."

It appears from Plaintiff's "Response" that he does not oppose Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. Therefore, it is recommended that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Document # 22) be granted and that Defendants Rod Cook, James Barrineau, and Ted Eddings be dismissed from this case.<sup>3</sup>

s/Thomas E. Rogers, III  
Thomas E. Rogers, III  
United States Magistrate Judge

April 24, 2007  
Florence, South Carolina

**The parties' attention is directed to the important notice contained of the following page.**

---

<sup>3</sup>Defendants' Motion is proper on the merits because Title VII does not provide for individual liability. Lissau v. Southern Food Service, Inc., 159 F.3d 177, 181 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998).